Generalization of Friedberg-Lee Symmetry

Chao-Shang Huang[c], **Tianjun Li**[c,d], **Wei Liao**[a,b*], **Shou-Hua Zhu**[e]

[a] Institute of Modern Physics,
East China University of Science and Technology,
P.O. Box 532, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, P.R. China

[b] Center for High Energy Physics,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

[c] Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P. O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, P. R. China

[d] George P. and Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics,
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

[e] Institute of Theoretical Physics, School of Physics,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

Abstract

We study the possible origin of Friedberg-Lee symmetry. First, we propose the generalized Friedberg-Lee symmetry in the potential by including the scalar fields in the field transformations, which can be broken down to the FL symmetry spontaneously. We show that the generalized Friedberg-Lee symmetry allows a typical form of Yukawa couplings, and the realistic neutrino masses and mixings can be generated via see-saw mechanism. If the right-handed neutrinos transform non-trivially under the generalized Friedberg-Lee symmetry, we can have the testable TeV scale see-saw mechanism. Second, we present two models with the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ global flavour symmetry in the lepton sector. After the flavour symmetry breaking, we can obtain the charged lepton masses, and explain the neutrino masses and mixings via see-saw mechanism. Interestingly, the complete neutrino mass matrices are similar to those of the above models with generalized Friedberg-Lee symmetry. So the Friedberg-Lee symmetry is the residual symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix after the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry breaking.

PACS: 14.60.Pq, 13.15.+g

---

*Corresponding author, email: liaow@ecust.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Recent developments in neutrino physics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] have stimulated many interesting new ideas [7, 8, 9, 10]. One beautiful approach towards understanding neutrino masses and mixings was presented by Friedberg and Lee [10, 11, 12]. They showed that there may be a hidden symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix with tri-bimaximal mixings, *i.e.*, the invariance under the translation in the space of Grassmann number

$$\nu_{e,\mu,\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{e,\mu,\tau} + \theta. \tag{1}$$

The symmetry was later used to explain the quark masses and mixings [11]. Instead of a universal translation for all fermions, they introduced different coefficients in translation of different flavors of quarks

$$q_i \rightarrow q_i + \xi_i\theta. \tag{2}$$

And this symmetry implies that one family of the Standard Model (SM) fermions is massless. Explicit symmetry breaking terms are introduced to reproduce the masses for the light SM fermions. Researches along this approach have been performed by several groups [13, 14].

On the other hand, it is generally acknowledged that the see-saw mechanism [15, 16, 17, 18, 19] is a powerful method to understand the tiny masses of the active neutrinos. See-saw mechanism needs a symmetry to guarantee the masslessness of the neutrinos at leading order. The masses of light neutrinos are generated after symmetry breaking. In this respect it is natural to ask what kind symmetry can implement the see-saw mechanism in such a way that the Friedberg-Lee (FL) symmetry is the residual symmetry hidden in the neutrino mass matrix.

In this article, we first generalize the FL symmetry in a simple way by including the scalar fields in the left-handed neutrino field transformations. The generalized Friedberg-Lee (gFL) symmetry naturally incorporates the FL symmetry. And the FL symmetry of Eq. (1) or Eq. (2) is obtained after the larger gFL symmetry breaking. The masslessness of three light neutrinos is a direct consequence of the gFL symmetry. After the gFL symmetry is broken down to FL symmetry, the light neutrinos get masses via see-saw mechanism, and their masses and mixings are intimated related to the residual FL symmetry. We show that the observed neutrino masses and mixings can be reproduced via see-saw mechanism. Also, if the transformations of the right-handed neutrinos under the gFL symetry is similar to those of the left-handed neutrinos, the testable TeV scale see-saw mechanism can be realized. Moreover, we briefly discuss how to embed the models with gFL symmetry into the extensions of the SM. Second, we propose two models with the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ global flavour symmetry in the lepton sector. After the flavour symmetry breaking, the charged lepton masses can be obtained, and the neutrino masses and mixings can be generated via see-saw mechanism . Interestingly, the complete neutrino

mass matrices for the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos are similar to those of the above models with gFL symetry. So the FL symmetry is the residual symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix after the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry breaking.

The content of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we propose the gFL symmetry and study the models with gFL symmetry. And in Section 3, we consider the models with $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry in the lepton sector. Our conclusions and discussions are in Section 4.

2 Generalized Friedberg-Lee Symmetry

We consider two models with the generalization of FL symmetry. One model has usual see-saw mechanism where only the left-handed neutrinos transform non-trivially under the gFL symmetry, and the other model has the testable TeV scale see-saw mechanism in which both the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos transform non-trivially under the gFL symmetry.

2.1 Usual See-Saw Mechanism

We consider three families of the left-handed neutrinos ν_{Li}, right-handed neutrinos ν_{Ri}^c and three SM singlet scalar fields ϕ_i where $i = 1, 2, 3$. We introduce the generalized Friedberg-Lee symmetry by including scalar fields in the field transformations of ν_{Li}. We introduce the following gFL symmetry transformation

$$\nu_{Li} \to \nu_{Li} + \phi_i \, \theta \ , \quad \nu_{Ri}^c \to \nu_{Ri}^c \ , \quad \phi_i \to \phi_i \ , \tag{3}$$

where θ is a Grassmann number[†]. We require that the neutrino mass terms and Yukawa terms be invariant under this symmetry transformation.

The FL symmetry is obtained after the gFL symmetry breaks spontaneously. This can be achieved by assuming the potential of ϕ_i triggers the spontaneous symmetry breaking. We assume ϕ_i have a potential as follows

$$- \Delta \mathcal{L} = \xi \, (\sum_{i=1}^{3} |\phi_i|^2 - v^2)^2 \ , \tag{4}$$

where $\xi > 0$. Then, ϕ_i get the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) at the minimum of the potential

$$< \phi_i > = v_i \ , \tag{5}$$

[†]This gFL symmetry is introduced for neutrinos after electroweak breaking. One may consider that θ carries an isospin number. Extension to doublet is discussed in section 2.4

where $v^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} |v_i|^2$. The induced transformation is as follows

$$\nu_{Li} \rightarrow \nu_{Li} + v_i \theta, \quad \nu_{Ri}^c \rightarrow \nu_{Ri}^c . \tag{6}$$

Because the coefficients v_i in above equation are space-time independent, we obtain the FL symmetry as a residual symmetry.

The mass term and Yukawa terms invariant under the gFL transformation are

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m_0)_{ij} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Rj}^c + \lambda_{ijk} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj} \phi_k + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ijk} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Rj}^c \phi_k$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\eta_{ijk}' \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Rj}^c \phi_k^\dagger + h.c. , \tag{7}$$

where λ_{ijk}, η_{ijk} and η_{ijk}' are Yukawa couplings, and ν^T means the transpose of ν. Also, we have to impose

$$\lambda_{ijk} = -\lambda_{ikj} , \tag{8}$$

$$(m_0)_{ij} = (m_0)_{ji} , \quad \eta_{ijk} = \eta_{jik} , \quad \eta_{ijk}' = \eta_{jik}' . \tag{9}$$

The first, the third and the fourth terms in Eq. (7) are obviously invariant under the gFL transformation in Eq. (3). Eq. (8) is required to make the second term invariant under the gFL transformation. Using Eq. (8) the second term transforms to

$$\lambda_{ijk} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj}\phi_k + \lambda_{ijk} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \theta \phi_j \phi_k$$

$$= \lambda_{ijk} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj}\phi_k , \tag{10}$$

So it is invariant under the gFL symmetry. However, the other terms, e.g., $\nu_{Li}^T i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj}$ and $\nu_{Li}^T i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj}\phi_k$, etc, are not invariant under the gFL transformation and are killed by the gFL symmetry.

We see that the mass term $\nu_{Li}^T i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj}$ is killed by the gFL symmetry defined in Eq. (3). If gFL symmetry is not broken to the FL symmetry neutrinos ν_{Li} won't be able to get masses. In this sense the masslessness of three ν_{Li} is a direct consequence of the gFL symmetry. Neutrinos ν_{Li} get see-saw type masses after ϕ_i get vevs and gFL symmetry in Eq. (3) is broken to the residual FL symmetry in Eq. (6). The generation of the see-saw masses for ν_{Li} is shown in the following.

After the gFL symmetry is broken down to the FL symmetry, we obtain the following neutrino mass terms

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m_R)_{ij} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Rj}^c + \Lambda_{ij} \nu_{Ri}^{cT} i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj} + h.c. , \tag{11}$$

4

where

$$(m_R)_{ij} = (m_0)_{ij} + \sum_k \eta_{ijk} v_k + \sum_k \eta'_{ijk} v_k^* \ . \tag{12}$$

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \sum_k \lambda_{ijk} \ v_k \ . \tag{13}$$

It is obvious that Eq. (11) is invariant under the residual FL symmetry transformation in Eq. (6). And we can write the neutrino mass matrix in the basis $(\nu_L, \nu_R^c)^T$ as follows

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{3\times3} & , & \Lambda^T \\ \Lambda & , & m_R \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{14}$$

where Λ and m_R are 3×3 matrices, and their matrix elements are Λ_{ij} and $(m_R)_{ij}$, respectively.

Assuming the mass scale of Λ is much lower than that of m_R we get the see-saw mass matrix for the light neutrinos

$$m_\nu = -\Lambda^T \ (m_R^{-1}) \ \Lambda \ . \tag{15}$$

Thus, using the gFL symmetry we have implemented see-saw mechanism. It is clear that the gFL symmetry protects the masslessness of neutrinos ν_L. Right-handed neutrinos ν_R^c are allowed to have masses and are heavy. Only one typical form of the neutrino Dirac Yukawa couplings is allowed by the gFL symmetry. This type of the Yukawa couplings introduces the mixings of ν_L and ν_R^c. After the gFL symmetry is spontaneously broken down to the FL symmetry we get a see-saw type mass matrix for $(\nu_L, \nu_R^c)^T$ and the see-saw mass matrix for the light neutrinos, which are shown in Eqs. (14) and (15), respectively.

2.2 Neutrino Masses and Mixings

In this subsection we give examples which can reproduce the realistic neutrino masses and mixings. For simplicity we assume v_i are real and Λ and m_R are real matrices. For illustration we will try to obtain the following tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing matrix [20, 21, 22]

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{16}$$

which has $\theta_{13} = 0$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\tan^2 \theta_{12} = 0.5$. More realistic textures can be done by following the discussions in this subsection.

A direct consequence of the residual FL symmetry in Eq. (6) is that one light neutrino is massless. This can be seen by noting that under the transformation $\nu_{Li} \rightarrow \nu_{Li} + v_i \theta$ the see-saw mass term of the light neutrinos is transformed to (after rearrangement)

$$\frac{1}{2}(m_\nu)_{ij}\nu_{Li}^T i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj} + h.c. \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(m_\nu)_{ij}[\nu_{Li}^T i\sigma_2 \nu_{Lj} + 2v_j \nu_{Li}^T i\sigma_2 \theta + v_i v_j \theta^T i\sigma_2 \theta] + h.c. \tag{17}$$

The invariance under the FL symmetry transformation says that the second term in the bracket of the r.h.s. of Eq. (17) gives zero. Hence we obtain

$$m_\nu \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{18}$$

So neutrinos ν_{Li} have one eigenstate with zero mass. The eigenvector is $(v_1, v_2, v_3)^T$. [‡] Eq. (18) can also be obtained by using Eqs. (13), (15) and (8) directly.

We shall present two examples. The first example has inverted hierarchy. For simplicity, we assume that m_R is a unit matrix, $i.e.$, $m_R = m_s \mathbf{1}$. And we choose

$$(v_1, v_2, v_2)^T = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, 1)^T . \tag{19}$$

Using Eq. (19) we get

$$m_\nu = -\frac{v^2}{2m_s} \begin{pmatrix} F_2, & F_\lambda, & -F_\lambda \\ F_\lambda, & \lambda_2, & -\lambda_2 \\ -F_\lambda, & -\lambda_2, & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{20}$$

where

$$F_2 = \sum_i (\lambda_{i12} + \lambda_{i13})^2 , \quad F_\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_{i23}(\lambda_{i12} + \lambda_{i13}) , \quad \lambda_2 = \sum_i \lambda_{i23}^2 . \tag{21}$$

We find that m_ν is diagonalized by U

$$U^T m_\nu U = -\frac{v^2}{2m_s}\text{diag}\{F_2 - F_\lambda, F_2 + 2F_\lambda, 0\} , \tag{22}$$

provided that the following condition is satisfied

$$F_2 + F_\lambda = 2\lambda_2 . \tag{23}$$

[‡]A difference between the gFL symmetry and the FL symmetry is that the coefficients ϕ_i in the transformation law of the gFL symmetry (hence the coefficients in the eigenvector) can take arbitrary values, instead of fixed constants v_i in the FL transformation. So gFL symmetry makes three neutrinos massless and the FL symmetry only guarantees one neutrino massless.

And we get

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = 3F_\lambda(2F_2 + F_\lambda)\frac{v^4}{4m_s^2} \ , \quad \Delta m_{31}^2 = -(F_2 - F_\lambda)^2\frac{v^4}{4m_s^2} \ . \tag{24}$$

The realistic neutrino mass square differences can be obtained since we have enough independent parameters to fit two Δm^2.

The second example has normal hierarchy, we take Λ anti-symmetric and m_R diagonal

$$m_R = \text{diag}\{m_{r1}, m_{r2}, m_{r3}\} \ . \tag{25}$$

We choose

$$(v_1, v_2, v_3)^T = \frac{v}{\sqrt{6}}(2, -1, 1)^T \ . \tag{26}$$

Using $\lambda_{ijk} = \lambda\epsilon_{ijk}$ we get

$$\Lambda = \frac{v}{\sqrt{6}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & \lambda \\ -\lambda & 0 & 2\lambda \\ -\lambda & -2\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{27}$$

And we find

$$m_\nu = -\frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{6}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m_{r2}} + \frac{1}{m_{r3}}, & \frac{2}{m_{r3}}, & -\frac{2}{m_{r2}} \\ \frac{2}{m_{r3}}, & \frac{1}{m_{r1}} + \frac{4}{m_{r3}}, & \frac{1}{m_{r1}} \\ -\frac{2}{m_{r2}}, & \frac{1}{m_{r1}}, & \frac{1}{m_{r1}} + \frac{4}{m_{r2}} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{28}$$

If the condition

$$m_{r2} = m_{r3} \tag{29}$$

is satisfied, we find

$$U^T \ m_\nu \ U = -\frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{3}\text{diag}\{0, \frac{3}{m_{r2}}, \frac{1}{m_{r1}} + \frac{2}{m_{r2}}\} \ . \tag{30}$$

Hence we get

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = \frac{\lambda^4 v^4}{m_{r2}^2}, \quad \Delta m_{31}^2 = \frac{\lambda^4 v^4}{9}(\frac{1}{m_{r1}} + \frac{2}{m_{r2}})^2. \tag{31}$$

Using the hierarchy in neutrino mass $\Delta m_{31}^2 \approx 25\Delta m_{21}^2$ we find

$$m_{r2} \approx 13m_{r1}. \tag{32}$$

7

2.3 Testable TeV Scale See-Saw Mechanism

In recent years there have been some interests in the TeV scale see-saw mechanism [23, 24]. The mechanism suggests that the mixings of the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos are independent of the hierarchy in the Dirac type and Majorana type masses. This makes the see-saw mechanism testable at the future colliders or in rare decay processes. In this subsection we show that we can also realize the testable TeV scale see-saw mechanism via the generalized Friedberg-Lee symmetry.

Instead of Eq. (3) we introduce the following gFL symmetry transformation under which the right-handed neutrinos transform non-trivially as well

$$\nu_{Li} \to \nu_{Li} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_i|^2}} \, \phi_i \, \theta \; , \tag{33}$$

$$\nu^c_{Ri} \to \nu^c_{Ri} + \frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_i|^2}} \, \phi_i \, \theta \; , \tag{34}$$

$$\phi_i \to \phi_i \; , \tag{35}$$

where α_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are complex numbers.

We introduce neutrinos $\nu_\perp$ and $\nu_\top$ in an orthogonal basis

$$\nu_{\perp i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_i|^2}} (\nu_{Li} + \alpha_i^* \, \nu^c_{Ri}), \tag{36}$$

$$\nu_{\top i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_i|^2}} (-\alpha_i \, \nu_{Li} + \nu^c_{Ri}) \; . \tag{37}$$

It is easy to see that under Eqs. (33) and (34) we have

$$\nu_{\perp i} \to \nu_{\perp i} + \phi_i \, \theta, \quad \nu_{\top i} \to \nu_{\top i} \; . \tag{38}$$

Thus, in the new basis the Eq. (3) is reproduced. And then the discussions on the see-saw mechanism and the neutrino masses and mixings are similar to those in the subsections 2.1 and 2.2. The only difference with the previous case is that the mixings between the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos are no longer suppressed by the mass hierarchy in the see-saw type mass matrix in Eq. (14). Denoting the neutrino mass eigenstates as $(\nu, \nu_H)^T$ we can find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \nu^c_R \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_0, & -\mathcal{A}_1^\dagger \\ \mathcal{A}_1, & \mathcal{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U, & 0 \\ 0, & U_H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \nu_H \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_0 \, U, & -\mathcal{A}_1^\dagger \, U_H \\ \mathcal{A}_1 \, U, & \mathcal{A}_0 U_H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \nu_H \end{pmatrix} ,$$

8

where U is the mixing matrix of the light neutrinos ν, U_H is the mixing matrix of heavy neutrinos ν_H, and

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathrm{diag}\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_1|^2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_2|^2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_3|^2}}\} \ , \tag{40}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathrm{diag}\{\frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_1|^2}}, \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_2|^2}}, \frac{\alpha_3}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha_3|^2}}\} \ . \tag{41}$$

We find that the mixings of the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos are determined by α_i which is independent of the mass hierarchy between the Dirac type and Majorana type masses. A_1 determine the strength of unitarity violation of the mixings of light neutrinos [25]. This kind scenario may be possibly tested at the future colliders [26] and neutrino oscillation experiments [27].

2.4 Embedding into the Extensions of the SM

We can embed the above models into the extensions of the SM. Let us denote the SM lepton doublets as L_i, and the SM Higgs field as H. Also, we introduce three SM singlet scalar fields ϕ_i. By the way, the following discussions can be easily generated to the supersymmetric Standard Models by changing

$$H \to H_u \ , \quad \widetilde{H} \to H_d \ , \tag{42}$$

where $\widetilde{H} = i\sigma_2 H^*$, and H_u and H_d are one pair of the Higgs doublets in the supersymmetric Standard Models.

(A) For the usual see-saw mechanism, we introduce the following gFL symmetry

$$L_i \to L_i + \ \phi_i \ \chi \ , \quad \nu_{Ri}^c \to \nu_{Ri}^c \ , \quad \phi_i \to \phi_i \ , \quad H \to H \ , \tag{43}$$

where χ is an $SU(2)_L$ doublet and has two components of Grassmann constant. And the relevant neutrino Lagrangian is

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m_0)_{ij} \ \nu_{Ri}^{cT} \ i\sigma_2 \nu_{Rj}^c + \lambda_{ijk}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}L_j\frac{\phi_k}{M_*}H + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ijk} \ \nu_{Ri}^{cT} \ i\sigma_2 \ \nu_{Rj}^c \ \phi_k$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\eta'_{ijk} \ \nu_{Ri}^{cT} \ i\sigma_2 \ \nu_{Rj}^c \ \phi_k^\dagger + H.C. \ , \tag{44}$$

where $\lambda_{ijk} = -\lambda_{ikj}$, and $(m_0)_{ij}$, η_{ijk} and η'_{ijk} are symmetric for i and j, and M_* is the cutoff scale of the gFL symmetry. Because the Lagrangian in Eq. (44) is similar to that in Eq. (7), we can embed the model with the usual see-saw mechanism into the extension of the SM.

As a remark, the most naive approach is that we introduce three Higgs doublets H_i, and define the following gFL symmetry

$$L_i \rightarrow L_i + \widetilde{H}_i \, \theta \, , \quad \nu_{Ri}^c \rightarrow \nu_{Ri}^c \, , \quad H_i \rightarrow H_i \, , \tag{45}$$

where $\widetilde{H}_i = i\sigma_2 H_i^*$. However, the neutrino Dirac Yukawa couplings $\overline{\nu}_{Ri} L_j H_k$ are not invariant under the above gFL symmetry. And then we can not explain the neutrino masses and mixings via see-saw mechanism. In short, this approach does not work.

(B) For the testable TeV scale see-saw mechanism, we have to embed the three right-handed neutrinos into three fermionic doublets L_i'. To cancel the anomaly, we introduce three fermionic doublets $\widetilde{L}_i'$ which are the Hermitian conjugate of L_i'. And we introduce the gFL symmetry transformation as follows

$$L_i \rightarrow L_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\alpha_i|^2}} \, \phi_i \, \chi \, , \tag{46}$$

$$L_i' \rightarrow L_i' + \frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{1 + |\alpha_i|^2}} \, \phi_i \, \chi \, , \tag{47}$$

$$\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_i \, , \quad H \rightarrow H \, , \quad \widetilde{L}_i' \rightarrow \widetilde{L}_i' \, . \tag{48}$$

And we define

$$L_{\perp i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\alpha_i|^2}}(L_i + \alpha_i^* \, L_i'), \tag{49}$$

$$L_{\top i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\alpha_i|^2}}(-\alpha_i \, L_i + L_i') \, . \tag{50}$$

It is easy to see that under the above gFL symmetry, we have

$$L_{\perp i} \rightarrow L_{\perp i} + \phi_i \, \chi, \quad L_{\top i} \rightarrow L_{\top i} \, . \tag{51}$$

And then we obtain the major relevant neutrino Lagrangian

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{M_I}\left(\lambda_{ijkl}^\nu L_{\perp i} L_{\perp j}\frac{\phi_k}{M_*}\frac{\phi_l}{M_*}H^2 + y_{ijk}^\nu L_{\top i} L_{\perp j}\frac{\phi_k}{M_*}H^2 + \lambda_{ij}\widetilde{L}_i'\widetilde{L}_j'\widetilde{H}\widetilde{H}\right)$$

$$+ M_{ij}L_{\top i}\widetilde{L}_j' + y_{ijk}^L L_{\top i}\widetilde{L}_j'\phi_l + H.C. \, , \tag{52}$$

where M_I is an intermediate scale and the Yukawa couplings λ_{ijkl}^ν satisfy $\lambda_{ijkl}^\nu = -\lambda_{kjil}^\nu = -\lambda_{ilkj}^\nu$ or $\lambda_{ijkl}^\nu = -\lambda_{ljki}^\nu = -\lambda_{ikjl}^\nu$, and the Yukawa coupling y_{ijk}^ν is anti-symmetric for j and k. Interestingly, the neutrino mass matrix proposed by Friedberg and Lee can be generated

by the first term in Eq. (52). Even if this term is zero, $i.e.$, $\lambda^\nu_{ijkl} = 0$, the observed neutrino masses and mixings can be generated by the double see-saw mechanim [28, 29]. Here, we emphasize that we neglect the other high-dimensional operators that are not important in the discussions of the neutrino masses and mixings.

In addition, the first three terms in Eq. (52) are non-renormalizable and can be obtained by the see-saw mechanism. For example, if we introduce three SM singlet fermions N_i, the first three terms can be obtained due to the following Lagrangian via the see-saw mechanism

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(M_N)_{ij}\overline{N}^c_i N_j + \lambda_{li}\overline{N}_l L_{\top i}H + \eta_{ljk}\overline{N}_l L_{\perp j}\frac{\phi_k}{M_*}H + \lambda_{li}N_l \widetilde{L}'_i \widetilde{H} + H.C. \ , \quad (53)$$

where $(M_N)_{ij}$ is symmetric, and $\eta_{ljk} = -\eta_{lkj}$. M_I is around the mass scales of N_i.

3 $SO(3) \times U(1)$ Flavour Symmetry in the Lepton Sector

To explain the SM fermion masses and mixings, we usually use the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism [30] by introducing the global flavour symmetry. Thus, the FL symmetry could also be a residual symmetry after the flavour symmetry breaking. In this section, we consider the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmtry in the lepton sector.

Let us explain the convention in details. We denote the SM Higgs doublet as H, the left-handed lepton doublets as L_i, and right-handed charged leptons as E_i. To break the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry we also introduce three Higgs doublets H_i, and nine SM singlet scalar field Φ, Φ_i and Φ_{ij}. We assume that the L_i, E_i, H_i and Φ_i form the fundamental representation of $SO(3)$, and Φ_{ij} form the symmetric representation of $SO(3)$. We shall present two concrete models in the following subsections: In the Model I, ν_{Ri} are singlets under $SO(3)$, while in Model II, ν_{Ri} form the fundamental representation of $SO(3)$ and we do not need the Φ_i fields.

3.1 FL symmetry with see-saw mechanism

Before we study the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour Symmetry, let us consider the FL model with see-saw mechanism. We consider the FL symmetry as follows

$$L_i \rightarrow L_i + \xi_i\,\chi \ , \quad \nu_{Ri} \rightarrow \nu_{Ri} \ , \quad H \rightarrow H \ , \quad (54)$$

where we obtain the original FL symmetry by choosing $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi_3$. And the neutrino Lagrangian, which is invariant under above FL symmetry, is

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m'_0)_{ij}\overline{\nu}^c_{Ri}\nu_{Rj} + y_{ijk}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}(\xi_k L_j - \xi_j L_k)H \ . \quad (55)$$

Following the usual procedure [15, 16, 17, 18, 19], we realize the see-saw mechanism with FL symmetry in the light neutrino mass matrix. Therefore, in order to generalize the FL symmetry, we need to construct the models that can reproduce the above Lagrangian in Eq. (55) after the generalized symmetry breaking. As an example to explain the main idea, we introduce three SM Higgs doublets and consider $\xi_i H$ as H_i. Then the above neutrino Lagrangian becomes

$$- \Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m_0')_{ij}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}^c \nu_{Rj} + \frac{1}{2}(m_0')_{ij}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}^c \nu_{Rj} + y_{ijk}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}(L_j H_k - H_j L_k) \ . \tag{56}$$

Therefore, we can obtain the neutrino mass matrix with FL symmetry if the neutrino Dirac Yukawa couplings y_{ijk} are anti-symmetric for the lepton doublet indices j and Higgs field indices k, i.e., $y_{ijk} = -y_{ikj}$.

3.2 Model I

We assume that under the $U(1)$ symmetry, ν_{Ri} has charge 0, L_i has charge 1, E_i has charge $-1/2$, H has charge $1/2$, H_i has charge 2, Φ_i has charge -3, and Φ and Φ_{ij} have charges -1. The $SO(3) \times U(1)$ invariant Lagrangian is

$$- \Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m_0')_{ij}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}^c \nu_{Rj} + \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \left(y_{ijkl}^\nu \overline{\nu}_{Ri} L_j H_k \Phi_l + \lambda^E \overline{E}_i L_i \widetilde{H} \Phi \right.$$

$$\left. + y_{ij}^E \overline{E}_i L_j \widetilde{H} \Phi_{ij} \right) + H.C. \ , \tag{57}$$

where the Yukawa couplings y_{ijkl}^ν are anti-symmetric for their indices j, k, and l due to the $SO(3)$ invariance. For simplicity, we assume that the SM Higgs field H has VEV close to 174 GeV, while the Higgs fields H_i have small VEVs, for example, a few GeVs. In addition, we assume that Φ, Φ_i and Φ_{ij} have VEVs around the grand unification scale 2.4×10^{16} or higher so that the dimension-5 operators can generate the masses for the charged leptons and neutrinos. And it is not difficult to show that we do have enough degrees of freedom to explain the charged lepton masses, and the neutrino masses and mixings.

After the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry breaking, we obtain that the neutrino mass matrix for the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos from the Lagrangian in Eq. (57) is the same as that from the Lagrangian in Eq. (7) by choosing the following relations

$$(m_0)_{ij} + \eta_{ijk}\langle \phi_k \rangle + \eta_{ijk}'\langle \phi_k^* \rangle = (m_0')_{ij} \ , \ \lambda_{ijk}\langle \phi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}}y_{ijkl}^\nu \langle H_k \rangle \langle \Phi_l \rangle \ . \tag{58}$$

Similar to the discussions in the subsection 2.2, we can explain the realistic neutrino masses and mxings. Interestingly, the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry is broken down

to the FL symmetry. In other words, the FL symmetry is the residual symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix from the flavour symmetry breaking.

Moreover, the FL symmetry can be broken only by the dimension-7 or higher operators. And the dimension-7 operators that break the FL symmetry are

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}^3}\overline{\nu}_{Ri}L_jH_k(\Phi^3\delta_{jk} + \Phi^2\Phi_{jk} + \Phi_{jl}\Phi_{lm}\Phi_{mk} + \Phi_{jk}\Phi_{lm}\Phi_{lm}) + H.C. ~, \quad (59)$$

where for simplicity we neglect the Yukawa couplings. Thus, the FL symmetry is a very good approximate symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix.

By the way, the VEVs of Φ, Φ_i and Φ_{ij} break the $U(1)$ symmetry down to the Z_2 symmetry. Under this Z_2 symmetry, E_i and H are odd while the other fields are even. And then, this Z_2 symmetry forbids the Dirac Yukawa couplings between H and neutrinos. Otherwise, the discussions will become very complicated because the VEV of H is much larger than those of H_i while the VEVs of Φ, Φ_i, and Φ_{ij} are close to the Planck scale. Also, this $U(1)$ symmetry will not affect the quark Yukawa couplings if we assign the $U(1)$ charges $1/2$ and $-1/2$ to the right-handed up-type and down-type quarks, respectively.

3.3 Model II

We assume that under the $U(1)$ symmetry, ν_{Ri} has charge -1, L_i has charge 1, E_i has charge $-3/2$, H has charge $1/2$, H_i has charge -2, and Φ and Φ_{ij} have charges -2. The $SO(3) \times U(1)$ invariant Lagrangian is

$$-\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^N\overline{\nu}_{Ri}^c\nu_{Ri}\Phi^\dagger + \frac{1}{2}y_{ij}^N\overline{\nu}_{Ri}^c\nu_{Rj}\Phi_{ij}^\dagger + y_{ijk}^\nu\overline{\nu}_{Ri}L_jH_k$$

$$+\frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}}\left(\lambda^E\overline{E}_iL_i\widetilde{H}\Phi + y_{ij}^E\overline{E}_iL_j\widetilde{H}\Phi_{ij}\right) + h.c. ~, \quad (60)$$

where the Yukawa coupling y_{ijk}^ν is anti-symmetric for their indices i, j and k. Similar to the above subsection, we have enough degrees of freedom to explain the charged lepton masses.

After the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry breaking, we obtain that the neutrino mass matrix for the left-handed and right-handed neutrinos from the Lagrangian in Eq. (60) is a special case of that from the Lagrangian in Eq. (7) by choosing the following relations

$$(m_0)_{ij} + \eta_{ijk}\langle\phi_k\rangle + \eta'_{ijk}\langle\phi_k^*\rangle = \lambda^N\langle\Phi^\dagger\rangle\delta_{ij} + y_{ij}^N\langle\Phi_{ij}^\dagger\rangle ~, ~~ \lambda_{ijk}\langle\phi_i\rangle = y_{ijk}^\nu\langle H_k\rangle ~. \quad (61)$$

The point is that the Yukawa coupling y_{ijk}^ν is anti-symmetric for i, j and k while λ_{ijk} is only anti-symmetric for j and k. Similar to the second example in the subsection 2.2, we can explain the observed neutrino masses and mixings. And the FL symmetry is the residual symmetry from the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry breaking as well. Unlike the Model I, it is very difficult to break the FL symmetry via the higher dimensional operators, so the FL symmetry may be a symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix.

4   Conclusions and Discussions

In summary, we study the possible origin of the FL symmetry. First, we generalize the FL symmetry to the gFL symmetry by including the scalar fields in the field transformations. And the FL symmetry is the residual symmetry after the larger gFL symmetry breaking. A direct consequence of the gFL symmetry is the masslessness of three light neutrinos, which obtain masses via see-saw mechanism after the gFL symmetry breaking. We also show that the observed neutrino masses and mixings can be generated. Also, if the transformations of the right-handed neutrinos under the gFL symmetry are similar to those of the left-handed neutrinos, we can have the testable TeV scale see-saw mechanism. Moreover, the models with gFL symmetry can be embedded into the extensions of the SM. Second, we propose two models with the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ global flavour symmetry in the lepton sector. After the flavour symmetry breaking, we can obtain the charged lepton masses, and explain the neutrino masses and mixings via see-saw mechanism. In particular, the complete neutrino mass matrices are similar to those of the above models with gFL symetry. So, the $SO(3) \times U(1)$ flavour symmetry is broken down to the FL symmetry which is the residual symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix.

Acknowledgment

References

[1] Y. Fukuda et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**(1998)1562.

[2] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, SNO collaboration Phys. Rev. Lett **87**, 071301(2001); *ibidem* **89**, 011301(2002); *ibidem* **89**, 011302(2002).

[3] S. N. Ahmed *et al.*, SNO collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 181301(2004).

[4] Super-Kamiokande collaboration, S. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5651(2001); Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5656(2001); Phys. Lett. B **539**, 179(2002).

[5] M. Apollonio et al.[CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B**466**(1999)415.

[6] K. Eguchi *et al.*, KamLAND Coll., Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021802(2003).

[7] B. Bajc, G. Senjanovic, and F. Vissani, Phys. Rev. Lett**90**, 051802(2003).

[8] H. Minakata and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev.**D70**, 073009(2004).

[9] C. S. Lam, Phys. Lett.**B656**, 193(2007).

[10] R. Friedberg and T. D. Lee, arXiv.org:hep-ph/0606071.

[11] R. Friedberg and T. D. Lee, arXiv.org:0705.4156.

[12] R. Friedberg and T. D. Lee, arXiv.org:0709.1526.

[13] Z. Z. Xing, H. Zhang, and S. Luo, Phys. Lett. **B641**, 189(2006); S. Luo and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B646**, 242(2007).

[14] C. Jarlskog, arXiv.org: 0712.0903.

[15] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. **B67**, 421(1977).

[16] T. Yanagida, in proceedings of the workshop on Unified Theory and the Baryon Number of the Universe, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto(KEK,Tsukuba,1979).

[17] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in Supergravity, edited by P. van Nieuwen-huizen and D. Freedman(North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979).

[18] S. L. Glashow, in Quarks and Leptons, edited by M. Levy et. al.(Plenum, New York, 1980).

[19] R. N. Mohapatra and Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912(1980); Phys. Rev. **D23**, 165(1981).

[20] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett.**B530**, 167(2002).

[21] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B535**, 163(2002).

[22] Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B533**, 85(2002).

[23] B. Bajc and G. Senjanovic, JHEP**0708**, 014(2007); B. Bajc, M. Nemevsek, and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D**76**, 055011(2007).

[24] J. Kersten, A. Yu. Smirnov, and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D**76**, 073005(2007).

[25] Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B660**, 515(2008).

[26] T. Han and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 171804(2006).

[27] S. Goswami, T. Ota, arXiv:0802.1434.

[28] L. Wolfenstein and D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. B**218** (1983) 205; R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 1642 (1986); S. Nandi and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 564 (1986).

[29] J. H. Kang, P. Langacker and T. Li, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 015012 (2005).

[30] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 277 (1979).